

In Lecture 3) you were asked to evaluate an integral as a short exercise.

(shown on the next page)

In the answer, a positive square root was chosen.

In an interactive session we discussed :

- (a) The justification for taking the positive, rather than the negative square root
- (b) Whether any physical interpretation could be given to the solution obtained if the negative square root were to be taken.

Please refer to the lecture notes for a diagram and other details of the calculation.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \oint \frac{da}{R} &= r^2 \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \int_0^\pi \frac{\sin \theta \, d\theta}{(z^2 + r^2 - 2zr \cos \theta)^{1/2}} \quad d(\cos \theta) = -\sin \theta d\theta \\
 &= -r^2 (2\pi) \left(\frac{2}{-2zr} \right) \left[(z^2 + r^2 - 2zr \cos \theta)^{1/2} \right]_0^\pi \\
 &= -r^2 (2\pi) \left(\frac{-2}{2zr} \right) \left[(z^2 + r^2 + 2zr)^{1/2} - (z^2 + r^2 - 2zr)^{1/2} \right] \\
 &= -r^2 (2\pi) \left(\frac{-2}{2zr} \right) \left[(z+r) - (z-r) \right] = -r^2 (2\pi) \left(\frac{-2}{2zr} \right) [2r] \\
 &= \frac{4\pi r^2}{z} \quad \forall r < z \quad \uparrow \text{N.B. +ve root since } r < z
 \end{aligned}$$

Substituting back into Eqn 3.1 above gives

$$V_{\text{au.}} = \frac{q}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \cdot \frac{1}{z} \quad \text{as expected for } V \text{ at distance } z \text{ from } q.$$

By superposition principle this result valid also for collection of charges.

Discussion regarding (a)

In the definite integral the term

- * $(z^2 + r^2 + 2zr)^{1/2} = z + r$ represents physically the maximum value that R can take ($\theta = \pi$)
- * $(z^2 + r^2 - 2zr)^{1/2} = z - r$ represents physically the minimum value of R ($\theta = 0$). It does make sense physically for this quantity to be positive.

Remember that we specified that $r < z$, which corresponds physically to the charge lying outside the spherical surface.

Discussion regarding (b)

What if we nevertheless chose instead to use $(z^2 + r^2 - 2zr)^{1/2} = r - z$?

In that case we obtain $\oint \frac{da}{R} = 4\pi r$, which when substituted back into eqn 3.1 gives $V_{av.} = \frac{q}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r}$, which suggests the following physical interpretation:

- * $r - z$ would be positive for $r > z$, which corresponds to the charge lying inside the spherical surface.
- * We can generalise the result we proved in Lecture 3) to

$$V_{\text{average over spherical surface of radius } r} = V_{\text{at centre produced by charges outside spherical surface.}} + \frac{Q_{\text{tot}}}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r},$$

where Q_{tot} is the total charge contained within the sphere. N.B. It does not matter where within the sphere the charge is located.